

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/647,516	KOJIMA, HIDEAKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven S. Paik	2876

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Steven S. Paik.

(3) _____.

(2) Christophe F. Lair (Reg. No. 54,248).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 September 2006

Time: 12:00

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 11, and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's attorney agreed and authorized an examiner's amendment to amend claims as follows:

Re claim 1, change "process" with -- processor -- in line 17.

Re claim 3, change "process" with -- processor -- in line 17.

Re claim 11, change "process" with -- executing -- in line 14 and "processor" with - executing" in line 19.

Re claim 13, change "process" with -- executing -- in line 14..